

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/077,724	02/14/2002	Sean A. Cerniglia	100110261-1	4364
7590 03/01/2004		EXAMINER		
HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY			LEE, JINHEE J	
Intellectual Property Administration P.O. Box 272400		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400			2831	
		DATE M		4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/077,724	CERNIGLIA ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Jinhee J Lee	2831			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status 1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 J</u>	lanuary 2004				
2a)□	,	is action is non-final.				
3)□	,_		osecution as to the merits is			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>0</u>	5) Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 2831

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of election of Group I and species e in Paper No. 0104 is acknowledged. The applicant has cancelled the remaining claims 10-38.

Drawings

2. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the base portion and head portion of claims 8 and 9 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

3. Applicant is required to submit a proposed drawing correction in reply to this

Office action. However, formal correction of the noted defect may be deferred until after
the examiner has considered the proposed drawing correction. Failure to timely submit
the proposed drawing correction will result in the abandonment of the application.

Claim Objections

4. Claims 1, 4 and 6 are objected to because of the following informalities:

Claim 1 line 6, the phrase "removably coupling" has grammatical error. Examiner suggests "removable coupling" instead to correct the grammatical error.

Art Unit: 2831

Claim 4 line 4 and claim 6 line 4,the phrase "for removably coupling" has grammatical error. Examiner suggests "removably coupling" instead to correct the grammatical error.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

6. Claims 4-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 4, use of the term "compact peripheral component interconnect standard" renders this claim indefinite, since a standard could change its meaning at any time.

Examiner suggests generic terminology instead.

Claim 6, use of the term "VersaModular Eurocard standard" renders this claim indefinite, since the owner of this term could change its meaning at any time. Examiner suggests generic terminology instead.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2831

8. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

9. Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Roesner (5690306).

Re claim 1, Roesner substantially discloses an assembly comprising: a filler panel body (10); and a handle element (24 for example), said handle element fixedly coupled with said filler panel body, said handle element adapted to provide a grasping surface above said filler panel body to provide removable coupling of said filler panel body with respect to a chassis (see figures 2 and 3). Roesner does not disclose that the handle element is integral with said filler panel body. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the handle element integral with said filler body for ease of assembly, since it has been held that forming in one piece an article which has formerly been formed in two pieces and put together involves only routine skill in the art. *Howard v. Detroit Stove Works*, 150 U.S. 164 (1893).

Application/Control Number: 10/077,724

Art Unit: 2831

Re claim 2, the method of forming a device is not germane to the issue of patentability of the device itself. Therefore, this limitation has not been given patentable weight.

Re claim 3, note that Roesner discloses an electromagnetic interference (EMI) shield portion (10 for example) coupled with said filler panel body, said EMI shield portion adapted to prevent EMI leakage from said chassis.

Re claim 4, note that Roesner discloses an attaching device (94 for example) adapted to be coupled with said filler panel body, said attaching device for removably coupling said filler panel body with said chassis in accordance with a standard (see figure 9 for example).

Re claim 5, note that Roesner discloses, wherein said handle element does not destructively interfere with said attaching device coupled with said filler panel body.

Re claim 6, note that Roesner discloses an attaching device (94 for example) adapted to be coupled with said filler panel body, said attaching device for removably coupling said filler panel body with said chassis in accordance with a standard (see figure 9 for example).

Re claim 7, note that Roesner discloses, wherein said handle element does not destructively interfere with said attaching device coupled with said filler panel body.

Re claim 8, note that Roesner discloses, wherein said handle element is

Art Unit: 2831

comprised of: a base portion (unnumbered flat portion on the outer side of 24 for example); and a head portion (rounded portion of 24 in the middle for example) fixedly coupled with said base portion, said head portion being disposed above said base portion in a manner which provides a grasping surface for removably coupling said filler panel body with respect to said chassis (see figure 9 for example).

Re claim 9, note that Roesner discloses, wherein said base portion is flush with said filler panel body (at the base portions which are the outer side portion of 24 for example, see figure 9).

Conclusion

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jinhee J Lee whose telephone number is 571-272-1977. The examiner can normally be reached on M, T, Th and F at 6:30AM-5PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dean A Reichard can be reached on 571-272-2800 ext. 31. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2831

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

jjl February 9, 2004

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800